Merrill Schneider, OSB #77336 merrillschneider@schneiderlaw.com Schneider Kerr Law Offices P.O. Box 14490 Portland, Oregon 97293

Phone: 503-255-9092 Fax: 503-255-9145

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF OREGON

JOSHUA STRONG, Plaintiff,	Case No. 3:12-cv-02046-HZ
vs.	Plaintiff's Reply Brief
CAROLYN COLVIN,	
Commissioner, Social Security	
Administration,	
Defendant	

Plaintiff Joshua Strong (Plaintiff) respectfully submits that Defendant has provided the Court with no basis for overlooking the ALJ's legal errors or the lack of substantial evidence supporting his decision. He reasserts each of the arguments raised in his Opening Brief but limits this Reply Brief to addressing the ALJ's duty to develop the record when the record was inadequate to allow for proper evaluation of the evidence.

Defendant explains that under SSR 96-6p, "[t]he ALJ must obtain a medical opinion on the issue of whether a condition equaled a listing only if 'additional medical evidence is received that in the opinion of the administrative law judge...may change the State agency medical or

psychological consultant's finding that the impairment(s) is not equivalent in severity to any

impairment in the Listing of Impairments." Social Security Ruling (SSR) 96-6p, 1996 WL

374180, at *4, Def. Br. at 9. In this case, additional medical evidence was received that may

change the State agency psychological findings. The additional medical evidence is Plaintiff's

school records and intellectual testing. Additionally, the record is inadequate for assessing

Plaintiff's adult disability claim because there is no assessment of Plaintiff's adult intellectual

testing.

Lastly, Defendant argues that, "the ALJ reasonably concluded that presence of the 2001 IQ

scores showed improvement and, thus, would not change the State agency consultant's opinions

that Mr. Strong did not meet or equal a listing." Def. Br. at 9. It is unclear, however, how the

State agency consultants could properly assess Listing 12.05C without Plaintiff's educational

records and further adult intelligence testing. Thus, the ALJ's finding that the 2001 IQ scores

would not change the State agency opinions is not supported by substantial evidence.

Submitted this 19th day of August, 2013.

/s/Merrill Schneider MERRILL SCHNEIDER OSB #77336

(503) 255-9092

Attorney for Plaintiff

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that the foregoing Plaintiff's Opening Brief was filed with the Clerk of the Court on August 19, 2013, using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the following: Adrian L. Brown, and Gerald J. Hill.

/s/ Merrill Schneider
Attorney for Plaintiff